## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,457	10/790,457 03/01/2004 Adam R. Pawloski		H1559	9956	
45305 7590 07/16/2007 RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP (AMDS) 1621 EUCLID AVE - 19TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			SULLIVAN, CALEEN O		
. CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1756	*		
,					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/790,457	PAWLOSKI ET AL.	PAWLOSKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Caleen O. Sullivan	1756			

	Caleen O. Sullivan	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			Coause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
•							
·							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the position that the combination of references presented in the previous Final Rejection teach and/or suggest all the limitations of the claims in the Application. In particular Examiner maintains the position that Costantini ('317) contemplates the limitation where immersion lithography fluid is recovered, purified and then recycled to a semiconductor fabrication process at a purity that is the same as when introduced to the fabrication process. Moreover, Examiner retains the position that Applicant has unduly limited the scope of the reference at issue, Costantini ('317) in their interpretation that the reference in no way teaches or at least suggests a process where immersion lithography fluid recovered from a semiconductor fabrication process, is purified and then recycled back to the fabrication process.

/Mark F. Huff/ Mark F. Huff Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1756